## BEFORE THE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL, PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI

## Original Application No. 31 of 2012

Sanjay Khare Vs. Union of India &Ors.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SWATANTER KUMAR, CHAIRPERSON

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE U.D. SALVI, JUDICIAL MEMBER

HON'BLE DR. D.K. AGRAWAL, EXPERT MEMBER HON'BLE DR. R.C. TRIVEDI, EXPERT MEMBER

Present: Applicant: Mr. Sanjiv Kr. Jha and Mr. Lalit Mathur, Advocates

Respondent No. 1: Ms. Neelam Rathore, Advocate with Ms. Syed Amber,

Advocate.

Respondent No. 4: Mr. Rajul Shrivastav, Advocate.

Respondent No. 5: Mr. Hemant Sahai, Advocate and Ms. Mazag, Advocate.

	and	Orders of the Tribunal
Remarks		1/4/24
Item No. 1 February 2014	4,	We have heard the Learned Counsel for the parties.
2017		The project in question relates to two aspects i.e.
	-//	the main Thermal Power Project and other the barrage.
	#	As far as barrage is concerned the consent Order was
		passed on 29 <sup>th</sup> January, 2014 and all the disputes in
	>	relation thereto were put to quietus as they stood fully
VI	ATI	settled.
30 11	Q	Now, we are concerned with the Thermal Power
20 1	3	project. The Learned Counsel appearing for the Applicant
	W	submits that he has filed an Affidavit dated 30th January,
	1	2014 making certain suggestions and if those suggestions
	J i	as stated in the Para 3 of the said Affidavit are accepted,
	7	then the Applicant would have no grievance and would
	`	not have any objections on the ground of Environmental
		Clearance to the Thermal Power Project.

The Learned Counsel appearing for the Project Proponent submits that the conditions stated in the Para 3 of the Affidavit dated 30<sup>th</sup> January, 2014 are acceptable to the Project Proponent and they shall implement the same. All the other Counsels appearing in this case have no objection in this regard.

In view of the above nothing survives in this Application. The parties would abide by their statements.

In view of the statement made and recorded, the Learned Counsel appearing for the Applicant does not press

No. 31 of 2012 is hereby dismissed as having become infructuous. Accordingly, Original Application No. 31 of 2012 stands disposed of and parties are left to bear their own costs. (Swatanter Kumar) (U.D. Salvi) (Dr. D.K. Agrawal) ....,EM (Dr. R.C. Trivedi)

Application and accordingly,

Original Application